Supreme Court U.S.
FILED
MAY 21 2010
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No 09 1037

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PATRICIA A WILSON, *Petitioner*
v
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNTED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

PETITION FOR REHEARING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF APPENDIX

	Page
Supreme Court of the United States denial of the petition for a writ of certiorari dated April 26, 2010	App 1
Certificate of Service	App 2

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cobin v Pollution Control Board, 16 Ill App 3d 958, 307 N E 2d 191, 195 (5th Dist 1974), *aff'd*, 401 N E 2d 1390 (1980)	4
People v Loeb, 17 Ill 2d 287, 161 N E 2d 325, 331 (1959)	4
Pedrick v Peoria and Eastern Railroad Company, 37 Ill 2d 494, 229 N E 2d 504, 510 (1967)	4
see generally Unit 10, *Voir Dire Examination of the Jury Panel*	4
705 ILCS 205/4 Oath	4

The petitioner herein respectfully moves this Court for an order (1) vacating its denial of the petition for writ of certiorari filed on December 29, 2009, and placed on the docket March 1, 2010, and (2) granting the petition As grounds for this motion, petitioner states the following

The petitioner feels compelled to bring this information to all people nationwide who have lost their homes because of fraud perpetrated by bankruptcy attorneys The petitioner has been raped by the system

The petitioner thought she was paying her creditors when in fact she was being robbed of her identity This is information the courts want to keep covered up (Fraud under the Brotherhood of the Secret Canon)

The petitioner filed Chapter 13 Bankruptcy in 1999 with the law firm of Robert J Adams and Associates (hereinafter "defendant"), 125 South Clark, Suite 1810, Chicago, Illinois During the process of her repayment plan, her identity was stolen by Attorney Robert J Adams when he deliberately added creditors to petitioner's bankruptcy plan that she had no prior knowledge of, subsequently increasing her bi-weekly automatic payroll deduction payments To add insult to injury, defendant never divulged his real estate interests which made the petitioner an easy target to lose her property through his various manipulative practices throughout her bankruptcy process

The defendant failed to include the petitioner's electric bill on the Automatic Stay form, but instead defendant falsified Cook County Treasurer property tax documents under the petitioner's plan after defendant told the petitioner she would be responsible for paying her own taxes

The original amount petitioner filed was $46,544 00 plus an additional $1,717 82 to be paid to the trustee The amount decreased to $28,364 91 after some of petitioner's creditors did not respond However, the defendant added creditors belonging to someone else to the

petitioner's repayment plan without her knowledge which consequently increased her term to repay to 66 months and the amount owed was increased to $63,110 11

The petitioner went to the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, before Judge Benjamin Goldgar The petitioner reported that the attorney was stealing, however, Judge Goldgar informed the petitioner something to the effect, "don't use that word, ok, use "EMBEZZLEMENT " The petitioner filed a legal malpractice lawsuit and paid for a jury trial because she felt Judge Goldgar had knowledge of the corruption in the trustee organization

The petitioner brought this matter to the attention of the United States Attorney Patrick J Fitzgerald who subsequently filed a lawsuit that went before Judge Blanche M Manning in the United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois The case was remanded back to the Circuit Court of Cook County, Law Division State Court with no delay

The petitioner was raped of her jury demand by Judge John A Ward of the State Court, who stated to the defendant something to the effect, "You are in trouble, but YOU LEFT YOUR WINDOW OPEN If the plaintiff does not agree with my decision, she CAN demand her jury "

Judge Ward issued the order dated July 20, 2007, but did not sign the order The petitioner filed an appeal on July 23, 2007, and hand delivered a copy to Chief Justice Timothy C Evans The case went to the Court of Appeals APPELLATE COURT, 160 N LASALLE, Room 1400, Chicago, Illinois

The petitioner called the Appellate Court and was told something to the effect by one of the clerks that "your case was won in late February 2008 The Appellate Court clerks held back the Judge's decision over a year to re-do a case fraudulently by removing court documents, falsified documents, forging the signature of lawyers and

judges which in turn formed a scandal with employees of the Chicago Transit Authority to fraud the settlement

Mr Joe Griffin, CTA Manager, told the petitioner something to the effect that "we saw your settlement check " The petitioner stated to Mr Griffin that she was asked about running a foundation involving the 103rd street bus garage The petitioner asked "what type of foundation are you talking about?" Mr Griffin stated something to the effect, "it's a lot of people involved Contact your union to help get it started " The petitioner told him "no, because I do not need a UNION to help me run a foundation because I do not deal with corruption "

On or around May 27, 2009, the petitioner met with a federal agent who accepted a copy of her Petition for Rehearing, Case #1-07-2052 filed in the Appellate Court of Illinois The agent made a thorough review of the document notice and stated something to the effect that "the court document is illegal The agent also made reference to this document is a "White Collar Crime (squad 3) " The agent also stated that 12 agents would be supervising the case

On or around July 21, 2009, a female FBI agent refused to accept the Supreme Court of Illinois documents and told the petitioner she only wanted the case #108732 and the date of filing

The petitioner met with Mr Joe Griffin, CTA, stated something to the effect the "the harassment/scandal was formed under the corporate name and that you will be notified by the FBI to stand down and EEOC and President Richard Rodriguez of CTA will be notified "

The last FBI agent the petitioner met with was on March 5, 2010, 2111 West Roosevelt Rd , Chicago Illinois The female agent received a copy of PETITION FOR WRIT that was filed with The Supreme Court of the UNITED STATES The petitioner observed the very strange look on the agent's face

The petitioner contends there are some "dirty agents" at that location because the last female agent left her 'number on the petitioner's voice mail (312) 829-4577 The petitioner further contends

that this is an ongoing scandal all the way up to the highest courts which is The Supreme Court of the United States in Washington DC

The petitioner maintains that the State Court egregiously ignored the damaging incontrovertible evidence against the defendant and ruled against the petitioner in favor of the defendant

The petitioner further maintains that her First Amendment right to petition the government for a redress of grievances was violated when her writ of certiorari was denied [1]

The petitioner also maintains that her Seventh Amendment right of trial by jury[2] and the rights to a jury trial guaranteed through Article I, Section 13 of the Illinois Constitution were violated Cobin v Pollution Control Board, 16 Ill App 3d 958, 307 N E 2d 191, 195 (5th Dist 1974), *aff'd*, 401 N E 2d 1390 (1980) Judge Ward ruled in favor of the defendant by ignoring the damaging incontrovertible evidence against the defendant as a means to circumvent the jury trial The right to a jury trial is the right to have the facts in controversy determined, under a judge's direction, by impartial jurors selected in the manner prescribed by law [People v Loeb, 17 Ill 2d 287, 161 N E 2d 325, 331 (1959), *see* Pedrick v Peoria and Eastern Railroad Company, 37 Ill 2d 494, 229 N E 2d 504, 510 (1967), *see generally* Unit 10, *Voir Dire Examination of the Jury Panel*]

The petitioner adamantly believes Attorney Adams violated the oath taken he failed to adequately represent her, falsified documents, and did not reveal his real estate interests 705 ILCS 205/4

The petitioner strongly believes the tactics and rulings in favor of the defendant are equivalent to being RAPED

---

[1] United States Constitution, First Amendment (Religion, Speech, Press, Assembly, Petition (1791))
[2] United States Constitution, Seventh Amendment (Common Law Suits -- Jury Trial (1791))

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, as well as those contained in the petition for writ of certiorari, petitioner prays that this Court grant rehearing of the order of denial, vacate that order, grant the petition and review the judgment

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia A Wilson
Pro Se Litigant
1415 Shields Avenue
Apartment B
Chicago Heights IL 60411
(773) 449-0934

May 21, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

As *pro se* Litigant, I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay and is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44 2

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

William K Suter
Office of the Clerk
(202) 479-3011

April 26, 2010

Ms Patricia A Wilson
1415 Shields Avenue
Apartment B
Chicago Heights, IL 60411

Re Patricia A Wilson
v Robert J Adams & Associates
No 09-1037

Dear Ms Wilson

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied

Sincerely,

/s/ William K Suter

William K Suter, Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Patricia A Wilson, *pro se* Litigant, hereby certify that I have this date, May 21, 2010, forwarded a true and exact copy of the PETITION FOR REHEARING to the following

Christine B Adams (3 copies)
Attorney for Robert J Adams & Associates
120 South Clark
Suite 1810
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Supreme Court of the United States (40 copies)
Clerk of the Court
Washington DC 20543

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed on May 21, 2010